

1	STATE OF WISCONS	IN CIRCUIT COURT DANE COUNTY
2	Branch 9	
3	STATE OF WISCONS	IN.
4		COPY
5	PJ	laintiff,
6	vs.	Case No. 04 CV 1709
7	ABBOTT LABORATORIES, et al.,	
8	D€	efendants.
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	Motion Hearing
11	BEFORE:	HONORABLE RICHARD G. NIESS
12	DATE:	March 10, 2009
13	TIME:	8:49 a.m.
14		
15		
	APPEARANCES:	P. JEFFREY ARCHIBALD, Attorney at Law,
. 17		Madison, Wisconsin, appearing on behalf
18 19		of the Plaintiff.
20		218 N 15 7 15 24 15 N 15 N 15 N 15 N 17 N 17 N 17 N 18 N 18 N 18 N 18 N 18
21		CHARLES BARNHILL, JR. and ELIZABETH EBERLE,
22		Attorneys at Law, Madison, Wisconsin,
23		appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff.
23		
25		
4 J		

THE COURT: Let me give you my impression. I'm, frankly, not inclined to try more than one defendant at a time. I thought that while the presentations were excellent on both sides in the Pharmacia case, Counsel was efficient, Counsel was solicitous to the jury's naivete in the whole thing. And I don't mean in a smarmy way. I mean you were actually educating the jury as you went along. I thought they were presented with a ton of information in a short period of time. They obviously -- well, not obviously, I think they absorbed a lot or it. I don't know that they could have taken anything more than what we did. And I think we face a real problem with jury confusion by combining them. I don't think there are any shortcuts here. I think -- I can give you more than one trial date a year now knowing that we're dealing with two to perhaps three-week trials rather than a month to six weeks for each one of . them. That was very helpful. I am concerned about the different

9

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am concerned about the different models. I'm concerned about, for example, in the Pharmacia case there were some documents that were from Pharmacia that were -- without getting too much specifically into the case, they were like the Ford Pinto document. I don't want the industry to be

painted with one broad brush even though I'm sure the plaintiff views them as perhaps equally culpable or at least culpable to some degree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think every defendant needs to start with a fresh start. I'm more than happy to give you, as I say, more than one trial date in the year now that we know how much time is available. But I am not inclined to combine them because of the -- I just think you folks did as good a job as possible with the amount of information you had. And to just throw anything more on here is just going to overwhelm the jury. I'm not so sure that we would be able to do it in the two-week period. We'd have to do it in perhaps three weeks. I don't know how much time saving you're actually going to have. I'm hopeful that with a couple of these trials we'll get some sort of a pattern as to what the state of Wisconsin juries seem to think about these things. And maybe that will spur on resolution outside the courtroom.

In any event, that's my initial impression. Not withstanding your eloquent arguments to the contrary, Mr. Barnhill, at this point I'm not inclined to combine anybody.

MR. BARNHILL: Fine, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome to address